

Agenda Item 8

LOST WAYS

Report for LAF 19th & 20/01/16 meeting

1. The sub group's Remit

Now the remit has been approved by both LAFs the sub group have a clear project definition.

2. The Process

The sub group have agreed on the checklist for researchers to follow. The method of prioritising routes to research has also been agreed and is displayed below:

- a. Possible Lost Ways
- b. Believed County Roads not identified as ORPAs on current OS mapping
- c. Dead-end routes on current definitive map
- d. Mixed-status routes on current definitive map
- e. Any other routes not publicly maintained.
- f. CSS Permissive Routes which would enhance the network Other Permissive Routes.
- g. Cycleways and ORPAs
- h. Existing DMMO Applications.
- i. Any route which is currently in public use, or of perceived public benefit which is threatened as a result of development of any type should be given a priority irrespective of its category as soon as the threat is apparent.

3. Rutland Unrecorded Ways

We have been fortunate in Rutland as two members of the Leicestershire and Rutland Ramblers have been seconded onto our group and they have provided the base data for research in spreadsheet and map format.

4. Lincolnshire Unrecorded Ways

Miriam (Mid Linc's) is in the process of pulling together data on anomalies, missing links, mapping errors and critical gaps for Lincolnshire. We are still hoping we can get someone interest in compiling all the Lincolnshire data into spreadsheet and mapping format as we have in Rutland.

5. Training

The BHS has provided training in lost ways. However the closest training was held at Kenilworth and this was not convenient for people living in Lincolnshire. The BHS appears to be prepared to deliver the training close to us but we do need to find a suitable free venue.

DOES THE LAF HAVE ANY SUGGESTIONS WHERE THIS TRAINING COULD BE PROVIDED?

This page is intentionally left blank